IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 61 OF 2002

SHRI RAMU GOVIND KOMARPANT AND ORS., ...Appellants

Versus

DEVKI PURUSH PRASANNA PATNEM, AND ANR., ...Respondents

Mr. S. D. Padiyar, Advocate for the appellants.

Ms. P. Kamat, Advocate for the respondents.

Coram:- P.V. KAKADE, J.

Date:- 6th December, 2006

P.C.

Heard learned Counsel for both the parties. The learned Counsel for the respondents states that the suit itself is disposed of in the lower Court by filing the consent terms and, therefore, nothing remains in this appeal as it is rendered infructuous. Learned Counsel for the appellants submits that he has no instructions in this regard as the appellants have taken away the papers. He has also issued notice of withdrawal to the appellants. However, in view of the statement made on behalf of the respondents, the appeal stands disposed of as rendered infructuous, with no order as to costs.

P.V. KAKADE, J.

ssm.